BEFORE THE APPEALS BOARD FOR THE KANSAS DIVISION OF WORKERS COMPENSATION

NICHOLAS DEMARIA)
Claimant)
VS.)
) Docket No. 1,008,009
STATE TRACTOR & IMPLEMENT CO.	Ì
Respondent)
AND)
)
GENERAL CASUALTY CO.)
Insurance Carrier)

ORDER

Respondent and its insurance carrier appealed the February 12, 2003 preliminary hearing Order entered by Administrative Law Judge Julie A. N. Sample.

Issues

Claimant alleges that on October 1, 2002, he struck his lower back on a bracket while working for respondent. In the February 12, 2003 preliminary hearing Order, Judge Sample determined that claimant sustained a work-related injury to his back and that claimant provided respondent with timely notice of the accident.

Respondent and its insurance carrier contend Judge Sample erred. They argue claimant failed to prove that he injured his back at work and failed to prove that he provided timely notice of the accident. Those are the only issues that have been raised for this appeal.

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

After reviewing the record compiled to date, the Board finds and concludes:

The Board concludes that claimant failed to provide respondent with timely notice of the accident or injury. Consequently, the preliminary hearing Order should be reversed.

Claimant admits that he did not report the incident to respondent on the day that it occurred. According to claimant, he reported the accident to respondent on either October

NICHOLAS DEMARIA

4 or 5, 2002. But according to respondent's owner, Clifford Dale, claimant did not report hurting his back on the job until October 22, 2002. And the Judge in the preliminary hearing Order commented that Mr. Dale was exceptionally credible.

The Board concludes that the present record fails to prove that claimant was justified in failing to report the back injury to respondent within 10 days of the accident. Claimant states that he did not initially notify respondent of the accident as he was hoping that his symptoms would resolve. But according to Mr. Dale, claimant still did not report the back injury when claimant began seeking medical treatment on October 7, 2002, or even later on October 17, 2002, when claimant's chiropractor restricted him from working.

At present, the record fails to prove that claimant provided respondent with notice of the accident or back injury within 10 days of the accident, or that claimant was justified in failing to provide notice within that time frame. Consequently, the Board must deny claimant's request for benefits.¹

As provided by the Workers Compensation Act, preliminary hearing findings are not final as they may be modified upon a full hearing of the claim.²

WHEREFORE, the Board reverses the February 12, 2003 preliminary hearing Order and denies claimant's request for benefits.

Dated this ____ day of March 2003. BOARD MEMBER

Donald T. Taylor, Attorney for Claimant
 Matthew S. Weaver, Attorney for Respondent and its Insurance Carrier
 Julie A. N. Sample, Administrative Law Judge
 Director, Division of Workers Compensation

¹ See K.S.A. 44-520.

² K.S.A. 44-534a(a).